

Power-Law Inflation from the Rolling Tachyon

Alexander Feinstein

*Dpto. de Física Teórica, Universidad del País Vasco,
Apdo. 644, E-48080, Bilbao, Spain*

Abstract

Modeling the potential by an inverse square law in terms of the tachyon field ($V(T) = \beta T^{-2}$) we find exact solution for spatially flat isotropic universe. We show that for $\beta > 2\sqrt{3}/3$ the model undergoes power-law inflation. A way to construct other exact solutions is specified and exemplified.

Several interesting attempts to reconcile the inflationary paradigm with string theories have resulted recently in much work (see for example [1]). These efforts, however, did not produce a completely successful union between the string theory and cosmology. It is fair to say, at this stage, that string inspired cosmologies are not a match to standard inflation. Yet, many believe that superstrings or their generalisations provide an adequate description of fundamental interactions including gravity. It is therefore important to pursue exploring the connections between the string theories and cosmology in order to find as to which, if at all, relic, or aspect of the fundamental theory may adequately account for the expansion of the universe.

Much has been written and emphasised about the role of the fundamental dilaton field in the context of string cosmology. Less is known about tachyon component. This is mostly due to the fact that tachyons were considered rather a nuisance in string theory. Recent developments in fluid description of tachyon condensate in bosonic and supersymmetric string theory due to Sen [2], [3] have resulted in enhancing our understanding of the role of the tachyon. Based on these works, several papers studying such a fluid in the cosmological context [5], [6], [7], [8] have appeared most recently.

The main purpose of this article is to show that under the assumption that the tachyon potential behaves as an inverse square in terms of the field, the Einstein equations lead to *simple* exact analytic solutions in the case of spatially flat isotropic universe. These solutions undergo the so-called power-law inflationary expansion if the “slope” of the potential $\beta > 2\sqrt{3}/3$, and decelerate otherwise. The fact that the rolling tachyon condensate can lead to power law inflation may have important consequences in our understanding of cosmology.

We also indicate and exemplify as to how more general solutions can be constructed. The choice of the inverse square tachyon potential, may at first sound artificial. Nevertheless, we will see that the potential behaves qualitatively similar to some exact classical potentials derived in the context of open string field theory. Apart, there is no need in stressing the importance of having a reasonable exact solution to the coupled tachyon-gravity equations, just to mention that further studies of qualitative behaviour and numerical simulations, including the study of density perturbations, can be contrasted against such an exact solution.

To this end we consider spatially flat FRW line element given by:

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) \quad (1)$$

As shown by Sen [2], [3] a rolling tachyon condensate may be described by an effective fluid with energy density and pressure given by

$$\rho = \frac{V(T)}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{T}^2}} \quad (2)$$

$$p = -V(T)\sqrt{1 - \dot{T}^2}, \quad (3)$$

here T is the tachyon field and $V(T)$ the tachyon potential. It is worth mentioning that this sort of models with an ordinary scalar field were studied in cosmology on phenomenological ground [4]. The pressure and the density of the fluid may be derived from a Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L} = p(\rho) = -V(T)\sqrt{1 - \dot{T}^2}$.

The Friedman equation and the entropy conservation equation take the form [5], [6]:

$$3H^2 = \rho = \frac{V}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{T}^2}}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\dot{\rho} = -3H(\rho + p) \quad (5)$$

We have set $8\pi G = 1$, H is the Hubble parameter and dot means differentiation with respect to time.

The entropy conservation equation is, in turn, equivalent to the equation of motion for the tachyon field T :

$$\frac{V\ddot{T}}{1 - \dot{T}^2} + 3H V \dot{T} + V' = 0, \quad (6)$$

where $V' = dV/dT$.

Now, substituting V from the Friedman equation together with the expressions for the pressure and the density into the entropy conservation equation one can come with the following interesting relation expressing the change of the tachyon in terms of the Hubble parameter and its derivative:

$$\dot{T}^2 = -\frac{2\dot{H}}{3H^2} \quad (7)$$

Below, we will show how this equation can be used to construct variety of exact solutions, but first we derive solutions with the inverse square potential.

The equation (7) and the Friedman equation may be written yet in a different form

$$\dot{T} = -\frac{2}{3}H'/H(T)^2 \quad (8)$$

and

$$H'^2 - \frac{9}{4}H^4(T) + \frac{1}{4}V^2(T) = 0 \quad (9)$$

We now assume that the potential is an inverse square in terms of the tachyon field:

$$V(T) = \beta T^{-2}, \quad \beta > 0 \quad (10)$$

Although this potential diverges at $T = 0$, it fairly mimics the behavior of a typical potential in the condensate of bosonic string theory. One expects the potential to

have a maximum at $T \rightarrow 0$ and to die off for a large field. Recently two groups [9], [10] have given an exact (in string tension) form of the classical potential:

$$V(T) = V_0 (1 + T/T_0) \exp(-T/T_0), \quad (11)$$

Apart from the unphysical divergence at vanishing tachyon in our toy model, the exact potential (11) has qualitatively similar behavior.

With this assumption we can see that (9) has a solution $H \sim T^{-1}$. Substituting this solution into (8) we find that the tachyon field is linear in time. After some simple algebra we have:

$$a = t^n \quad (12)$$

along with

$$n = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{4 + 9\beta^2} \quad (13)$$

The tachyon field has the form:

$$T = \sqrt{2/3n} t \quad (14)$$

The condition for inflation for these solutions is that $n > 1$. These are the so-called power-law inflationary solutions [11], [12] and in the inflaton driven models are associated with the exponential potential. Limitations on n come from the reality of the equation (7). This imposes the positivity of n , and this is why the negative branch of the equation (13) was discarded. The same equation prohibits, in general, the so-called super, or pole inflation, by imposing a non-positive sign to the time derivative of the Hubble parameter. From the equation (7) we immediately find that the inflationary solutions with $n > 1$ correspond to $\dot{T}^2 < 2/3$ (cf. [5]). These models actually inflate forever.

In terms of the potential parameter β , the inflation occurs whenever $\beta > 2\sqrt{3}/3$. The range of the parameter β for decelerating models is $0 < \beta < 2\sqrt{3}/3$. In terms of the power n , the last inequality translates into $2/3 < n < 1$.

We were lucky of course in choosing the form of the potential in order to solve the equation (9) and the rest of the equations exactly. Given a different potential solving the coupled differential equations happens to be rather difficult a task. One may, however, approach this problem from a different perspective. Rather than starting with a given potential, one can start with a given expansion factor $a(t)$. The Hubble parameter $H(t)$ is then readily found and the tachyon field $T(t)$ can be read from the equation (7). The potential in form of $V(t)$ is then found from the equation:

$$V = 3H^2\sqrt{1 - \dot{T}^2} = 3H^2\sqrt{1 + \frac{2\dot{H}}{3H^2}} \quad (15)$$

Inverting $T(t)$ to get $t(T)$ we finally find $V(T)$. The main drawback in this scheme is that one may often finish with unphysical tachyon potential, the advantage, on the

other hand, is that given a known cosmological expansion, one can figure out the tachyon potential and the field itself.

Alternatively, one can start with his “favorable” behaviour for the tachyon. Equation (7) is then easily integrated to give one the Hubble scale $H^{-1} = \frac{3}{2} \int \dot{T}^2 dt$. From here to find the scale factor and the potential $V(T)$ is just a matter of algebra.

Let us see how it works. The case of exponential expansion with the constant Hubble parameter corresponds to the constant tachyon and the constant potential. The limiting $t^{2/3}$ behavior corresponds to pressureless dust. To exemplify the procedure for less straightforward cases we assume that the scale factor behaves as

$$a(t) = \exp(mt^n) \quad (16)$$

After some algebra we find:

$$H = mn t^{n-1} \quad (17)$$

together with

$$\dot{T}^2 = \frac{2(1-n)}{3mn} t^{-n} \implies T = \gamma t^{(2-n)/2} \quad (18)$$

Here $\gamma = \frac{2\sqrt{6(1-n)/mn}}{3(2-n)}$, and the integration constant in (18) has been ignored. We can now invert $T(t)$ to get $t(T) = (T/\gamma)^{2/(2-n)}$. In terms of $T(t)$, the potential becomes

$$V(T) = AT^{4(n-1)/(2-n)} \sqrt{B + CT^{-2n/(2-n)}}, \quad (19)$$

where A , B and C are constants expressed in terms of m and n . The special case $n = 2$, should be treated separately, and leads to logarithmically divergent tachyon at $t = 0$.

The reality condition for the tachyon derivative imposes $0 < n < 1$ for $m > 0$, and either $n < 0$ or $n > 1$ for $m < 0$. The sign of the acceleration depends basically on the form $mn t^n (n - 1 + mn t^n)$, depending therefore on m and n one can have variety of models with different behavior with respect to inflation. For $n > 1$ (negative m) the expansion is regular at $t = 0$ but rather singular at $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. These models lead, however, to singular tachyon at $t = 0$. One can have both non-singular tachyon and the expansion at $t = 0$ for $1 < n < 2$. Let us choose, for example, $n = 4/3$, then for negative times the model expands (due to negative m , therefore positive H) from the singularity at $t \rightarrow -\infty$, starts contracting for $t > 0$ and continues to contract towards the singularity at $t \rightarrow \infty$. As far as inflation is concerned this model accelerates initially for large negative time, stops the acceleration and decelerates near $t = 0$. It finally has an accelerated collapse for large positive time.

To sum up. Assuming a toy model potential for the tachyon field we have shown that this choice leads to potentially very interesting power-law inflationary solutions. We have found a simple equation (7) which allows by starting with a given expansion to find the exact form of the tachyon field and its potential.

Some interesting questions remain open. It is well known that in the standard inflaton driven cosmology, the power-law inflation represents a late time attractor when the potential is exponential [12]. It would be interesting to see whether the power-law inflationary solutions driven by the inverse square potential of the tachyon have similar status. We also are aware that in the inflaton driven expansion, one may re-construct the potential starting from the behaviour of the density perturbations [13], where the so-called $H(\phi)$ formalism due to Lidsey [14] is used. Here one can obviously undertake a similar task using $H(T)$ as an analog of $H(\phi)$, with the equation (7) being the input for such a study. Finally, it would be interesting to study the effects of anisotropies and inhomogeneities in this setting. We hope to be able to address these questions in near future.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the University of the Basque Country Grants UPV 172. 310-GO 2/99 and The Spanish Science Ministry Grant 1/CI-CYT 00172. 310-0018-12205/2000.

A day after this paper appeared on arXiv, an overlapping paper of T. Padmanabhan “Accelerated expansion of the universe driven by tachyonic matter” hep-th/0204150 has also appeared.

References

1. G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B **265** (1991) 287 ; M. Gasperini and G. Veneziano, Astropart. Phys **1** (1993) 317 ; G. Veneziano, “Inflating, warming up, and probing the pre-bangian universe”, G. Veneziano, *String cosmology: The pre-big bang scenario*, in: “The Primordial Universe”, proceedings to the 1999 Les Houches Summer School, eds. P. Binetruy, R. Schaeffer, J. Silk and F. David. Springer-Verlag 2001, hep-th/0002094; J.E. Lidsey, D. Wands and E.J. Copeland, “Superstring cosmology” Phys. Rep. **337** (2000), hep-th/9909061; V.A. Rubakov and M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. **125B** (1983) 136; K. Akama, Lect. Notes Phys. **176** (182) 267 ; N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. **429B** (1998) 263; L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 3370; L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 4690
2. A. Sen, “Rolling Tachyon”, arXiv:hep-th/0203211
3. A.Sen, “Tachyon Matter”, arXiv:hep-th/0203265
4. C. Armendariz-Picon, T. Damour and V. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. **458** (1999) 209
5. G.W. Gibbons, “Cosmological Evolution of Rolling Tachyon”, arXiv:hep-th/0204008

6. M. Fairbairn and M. H.G. Tytgat, “Inflation from a Tachyon Fluid?”, arXiv:hep-th/0204070
7. S. Mukohyama, “Brane Cosmology Driven by the Rollong Tachyon”, arXiv:hep-th/0204084
8. A. Mazumdar, S. Panda and A. Perez-Lorenzana, Nucl.Phys. **B614** (2001) 101
9. A. Gerasimov and S. Shatashvili, JHEP **0010** (2000) 034
10. D. Kutasov, M. Mariño and G.W. Moore, JHEP **0010** (2000) 045
11. F. Lucchin and S. Matarrese, Phys.Rev. **D32** (1985) 1316
12. J.J. Halliwell, Phys. Lett. **B185** (1987) 341
13. E. Copeland, E. Kolb, A. Liddle and J. Lidsey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 219; E. Copeland, E. Kolb, A. Liddle and J. Lidsey, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 2529
14. J. Lidsey, Phys. Lett. B **273** (1991) 42